# **SOLAR TOWER LCOE IN CHILE: COMPARATIVE STUDY**

Maitane Ferreres Eceiza, Peter Schöttl, Francisco Torres





Speaker: Maitane Ferreres Eceiza

Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems ISE

Chile: Solar Thermal for Power and Heat International Webinar, May 26, 2021

www.ise.fraunhofer.de



# CONTENT

#### Introduction

Background and objective of the study

CHILE

- Solar multiple
- Heliostat field sizing factor
- Methodology
  - Design and simulation
  - Reference case
  - Study cases
  - Cost assumptions
- Results
- Conclusion
- Outlook

2 © Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-EXTERNAL

## Introduction Background and objective of the study

- Techno economic study to optimize LCOE of solar towers in Chile with varying storage capacity, solar multiple and heliostat field sizing factor.
- Chile presents a big potential for solar thermal technology due to high DNI values. [2]
- Electricity generation in Chile is mostly based on imported fossil fuels (54%) and hydro power plants (27%). [3]





## Introduction System description: solar tower plants



4 © Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-EXTERNAL

[1] Image adapted from: Abbas, Mohamed. (2013). Parametric Study of the installation of a Solar Power Tower plant under Saharan Climate of Algeria: case study of Tamanrasset.

## Introduction Solar multiple

Ratio between the thermal power produced by the solar field at the design point and the thermal power required by the power block at nominal conditions.

 $SM = \frac{\dot{Q}_{th, \, solar - field}}{\dot{Q}_{th, \, power - block}} \bigg|_{design\_point}$ 



## Introduction Solar multiple

Ratio between the thermal power produced by the solar field at the design point and the thermal power required by the power block at nominal conditions.  $SM = \frac{\dot{Q}_{th, \, solar-field}}{\dot{Q}_{th, \, power-block}} \bigg|_{design\_point}$ 



© Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-EXTERNAL

6

[1] Image adapted from: Abbas, Mohamed. (2013). Parametric Study of the installation of a Solar Power Tower plant under Saharan Climate of Algeria: case study of Tamanrasset.

## Introduction Heliostat field sizing factor

Ratio between the thermal power produced by the heliostat field at the design point and the thermal power required by the power block at nominal conditions.





7 © Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-EXTERNAL

[1] Image adapted from: Abbas, Mohamed. (2013). Parametric Study of the installation of a Solar Power Tower plant under Saharan Climate of Algeria: case study of Tamanrasset.

## Methodology Design and simulation



8 © Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-EXTERNAL

## Methodology **Reference case: NOOR-III**

The facility is located in southern Morocco (Ouarzazate) and was commissioned in 2018

Parameter	Value [4]	
DNI	2500 kWh/m²/year	
Turbine gross power	150 MW <sub>el</sub>	
Tower height	250 m	
Storage capacity	7.5 h	
Number of heliostats	7400	
Heliostat aperture area	178.5 m <sup>2</sup>	
Receiver thermal power	660 MW <sub>th</sub>	

Parameter variation was performed for storage capacity, receiver and number of heliostats

9

© Fraunhofer ISE

[4] S. Relloso and Y. Gutiérrez. SENER Molten Salt Tower Technology. Ouarzazate NOOR III Case. AIP Conference Proceedings 1850, 030041 (2017); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4984384 FHG-SK: ISE-EXTERNAL [5] Image adapted from: https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/public/2019/05/274576/noor-iii-morocco performance-solar-energy

## Methodology Study cases

#### Three sites selected:

Site 1: Las Tórtolas (Región Metropolitana de Santiago)

Annual DNI: 2409 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year [2]

- Site 2: Morrillos (Región de Coquimbo)
  - Annual DNI: 3006 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year [2]
- Site 3: Nueva Zaldivar (Región de Antofagasta)

Annual DNI: 3585 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year [2]

- Hourly metrological data interpolated from closest Meteonorm stations. [6]
- DNI normalized with local data from Global Solar Atlas. [2]





## Methodology Cost assumptions

Parameter	Value [7]	Unit	
Direct capital cost			
Heliostat field	100	€/m²	
Tower	61706	€/m	
Receiver	70	€/kWth	
Power block	810	€/kWe	
Thermal storage	21	€/kWhth	
Indirect capital cost			
Site preparation	1	€/m²	
Soft costs (Project development, EPC margin)	20	% of direct cost	
Operation and maintenance costs			
Annual O&M	3	% of investment cost	
Annual insurance costs	0.7	% of investment cost	

 $LCOE = \frac{CAPEX + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{OPEX_t}{(1+i)^t}}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{E_t}{(1+i)^t}}$ 

LCOELevelized Cost of Electricity in  $\notin$ WhCAPEXCapital expenditure in  $\notin$ OPEXtOperating expenditure in  $\notin$  per year tEtProduced amount of electricity<br/>in kWh per yeariReal interest rate in %nLifetime in years

[7] D. Juergen, J. Paucar, C. Schuhbauer, A. Schweitzer and A. Stryk. Blueprint for Molten Salt CSP Power Plant, Cologne, Germany. Final report of the research project "CSP-Reference Power Plant" No. 0324253 (2021).

#### Parametric study: Nueva Zaldivar (Interest rate %5.5, plant lifetime 25 years)



© Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-INTERNAL

12

#### Parametric study: Nueva Zaldivar (Interest rate %5.5, plant lifetime 25 years)



© Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-INTERNAL

13

#### Parametric study: Nueva Zaldivar (Interest rate %5.5, plant lifetime 25 years)



© Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-INTERNAL

14

## Results Optimized plants CAPEX



Soft costs

Collector

Site preparartion Heliostat field

© Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-INTERNAL

## Results Optimized plants LCOE

Interest rate = 3%
Interest rate = 5.5%
Interest rate = 8%



© Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-EXTERNAL

#### Conclusion

Chile presents a lower LCOE than other typical CSP sites.

A higher storage capacity lead to higher solar multiple, but heliostat field sizing factor remains constant.

Lower optimal solar multiple because of considering the heliostat field sizing factor: receiver size is further optimized.

CHILE

The tools ColSim CSP and devISE<sub>CSP</sub> developed in Fraunhofer ISE have the ability to simulate complex CSP systems in detail.

## Outlook CSP-PV hybridization

- Combining low cost of PV production and dispatchable power supply with cheap thermal storage of CSP.
- CSP-PV hybridization could further decrease the LCOE while increasing the capacity factor (above %64) and adapting to demand. [8]



18 © Fraunhofer ISE [8 FHG-SK: ISE-EXTERNAL

## Outlook

## Heliostat field design with Polygon Optimization and Complex Boundaries

- Meet flux requirements
- Optimize for flexible objective function
- Allowable flux constraints
- Coherent fields
- Complex area boundaries
- Polygon-based heliostat selection
- Optimization with evolution strategies



© Fraunhofer ISE

## Outlook

## Heliostat field design with Polygon Optimization and Complex Boundaries

- Meet flux requirements
- Optimize for flexible objective function
- Allowable flux constraints
- **Coherent fields**
- Complex area boundaries
- Polygon-based heliostat selection
- Optimization with evolution strategies



© Fraunhofer ISE

## **Thank You for Your Attention!**





#### Parametric study: Nueva Zaldivar (Interest rate %5.5, plant lifetime 25 years)



22 © Fraunhofer ISE FHG-SK: ISE-INTERNAL