Centro de Tecnologías para Energía Solar



CHILE

Comparison between Concentrated Solar Power and Gas-Based Generation in Terms of Economic and Flexibility-Related Aspects in Chile

Catalina Hernández Moris 19/05/2021





2 Download link: https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/14/4/1063









Introduction

Economic Comparison according to LCOE

- Hybrid Plant.
 - Solar Tower Power (STP) with 13 hours of storage
 - Photovoltaic (PV)
- Natural gas combined Cycle (CC).
- Solar Tower Power with 6 hours of storage.

Systemic attributes

- Flexibility
- Reliability
- Economic Risks
- Decarbonization Scenario

What do we want to do?



Technologies

Concentrated Solar Plants



Natural gas a combined Cycle



Description – environmental impact / Benefit – Operation







Hybrid Plant





Economic Parameters





| Parameters | Value | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Lifetime of STP systems | 40 years | | |
| Lifetime of PV systems | 25 years | | |
| CSP degradation rate | 0.2%/year | | |
| PV degradation rate | 0.6%/year | | |
| CAPEX CSP | 3.25 MMUSD/MWe | | |
| CAPEX PV | 0.71 MMUSD/MWe | | |
| OPEX PV + SPT | 14.32 MMUSD/year | | |



| Parameters | Value |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Lifetime of CC systems | 30 years |
| CAPEX | 0.898 MMUSD/MWe |
| Non-fuel Variable Cost | 3.5 USD/MWh |
| Fixed Cost | 1% CAPEX |
| | |

Cost structure for a 225 MW CC Power Plant – Source: CNE

| Annual interest rate | 7% |
|----------------------|----|
| Inflation rate | 0% |



Economics Results

| | Configuration | LCOE 6 hrs, USD/MWh | LCOE 13 hrs, USD/MWh | $\Delta LCOE = \frac{SAM_{6hr} - SAM_{13hr}}{SAM_{13hr}}$ |
|------------|--|------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| LCOF | Without Restriction | 83.3 | 88.3 | -6% |
| Comparison | Night SPT in winter | 112.7 | 92.2 | +22% |
| | SPT supplement PV in summer and without restriction in winter. | 153.7 | 118.1 | +30% |
| | Night SPT | 225.5 | 132.8 | +70% |

First Resultds: Comparison of LCOE between STP of 6 hours and 13 hours of storage.



Economics Results

| -\- | | | | | |
|--------------|---|----------------|---------------|------|------|
| \mathbf{r} | Configuration | Solar Multiple | LCOE, USD/MWh | | |
| | | | Hybrid | CSP | PV |
| LCOE | PV + STP Without Restriction | 2.0 | 52.6 | 62.7 | 30.5 |
| Comparison | PV + Night STP | 1.5 | 70.6 | 98.0 | 30.5 |
| | PV + Night STP Winter | 2.0 | 55.6 | 68.1 | 30.5 |
| | PV + SPT supplement PV in summer and without restriction in winter. | 1.7 | 63.5 | 83.6 | 30.5 |

Economic results for the CSP plant with 13 h of storage.



Economics Results





Systemic Attributes

Flexibility

- Both technologies are very flexible.
- Synchronous generation technology, with the ability to adjust your generation profile.

Reliability

- SPT technology is a proven (reliable) technology
- CCs have a much higher failure rate than hydroelectric ones.
- Input availability.



Economic Risks

- Price of the primary input.
- Possible taxes
- Correction to the green tax.

Decarbonization Scenario

 Technologies that provide inertia in the energy network, flexibility and SSCC to guarantee the safety and quality of the service.







Conclusions

According to the LCOE calculations, the minimum value was found for the solar hybrid technology with 13 h of storage without generation restrictions (53 USD/MWh), while the natural gas technology has an LCOE of 86 USD / MWh.



Chile faces the challenge of determining the best strategy taking advantage of the benefits of each existing technology in the generation matrix.

CSP technology provides very similar systemic benefits, while avoiding significant negative externalities.



Thanks for your attention





Economic Parameters







| Parameter | Value | ltems | Value | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| CAPEX CSP Plant (130 MWe) | 3.25 MMUSD/MWe | CAPEX | 0.898 MMUSD/MWe | |
| CAPEX PV Plant (150 MWe) | 0.713 MMUSD/MWe | Non-fuel Variable Cost | 3.5 USD/MWh | |
| CAPEX Substation 110 kV | 4.9 MMUSD | Fixed Cost | 1% CAPEX | |
| CAPEX Transmission 110 kV | 1.8 MMUSD | Fuente: Comisión Nacional de Energía. Informe de Costos de Tecnologías de Generación-Informe Anual; Comisión Nacional de Energía: Santiago do Chilo. 2020 | | |
| OPEX Substation 110 kV | 0.079 MMUSD/year | | | |
| OPEX Transmission 110 kV | 0.016 MMUSD/year | Ellergia. Salitiago de Cille, 2020 | | |
| OPEX CSP | 5 MMUSD/year | | | |
| Variable Cost Operational CSP | 3.5 USD/MWe | | | |
| Fixed OPEX CSP | 7.72 MMUSD/year | | | |
| Fixed OPEX PV | 1.6 MMUSD/year | | | |

17 Source: Hernández, C.; Barraza, R.; Saéz, A.; Ibarra, M.; Estay, D. Potential Map for the Installation of Concentrated Solar Power Towers in Chile. Energies 2020, 13, 2131

